1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990) United States Attorney		
2 3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285) Assistant United States Attorney		
4 5			
6 7	450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7232 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov		
8			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Criminal No. CR 07-0074 WHA		
15	Plaintiff,)		
16)) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
17	v.) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME		
18	MICHAEL EDISON,) Defendant.		
19			
20) 		
21			
22	The above-captioned matter came before the Court on August 15, 2007. The defendant		
23	was represented by Michael Thorman, Esq., AND Roger Patton, Esq., and the government was		
24	represented by Jeffrey Finigan, Assistant United States Attorney. The matter was set before this		
25	Court on August 28, 2007, at 2:00 p.m. for further status and setting of motions.		
26	In addition to the existing time exclusion already in place for this time period, the Court		
27	made a finding that the time from and including August 15, 2007, through and including August		
28	28, 2007, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A), because		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 07-0074 WHA		

the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until August 28, 2007, and that the exclusion of time until then be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant.

DATED:	August 20, 2007	/s/
		MICHAEL THORMAN
		Counsel for Michael Edison

DATED: August 20, 2007

ROGER PATTON

Counsel for Michael Edison

DATED: August 15, 2007 /s/
IEFFREY R FINIGAN

Assistant U.S. Attorney

So ordered.

DATED: August 27, 2007

WILLIAM Judge William Alsup
UNITED: TATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

UNITED TATES DESTRICT COURT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 07-0074 WHA